DOI 10.15673/2072-9812.1/2014.29271
Proc. Intern. Geom. Center 2014 **7**(1) 24–30 $d\omega$

Geometry of Chaos: Advanced approach to treating chaotic dynamics in some nature systems

A.V. Glushkov, V.V. Buyadzhi, E.L.Ponomarenko

Abstract It is presented an advanced chaos-geometrical approach to treating chaotic dynamics in some nature systems and its numerical application to hydroecological one. The approach combines together application of the advanced mutual information approach, correlation integral analysis, Lyapunov exponent's analysis etc.

Keywords geometry of chaos, non-linear analysis, nature system

Mathematics Subject Classification: (2000) 55R01-55B13

1. Introduction

Earlier [1-10] we have developed a new, chaos-geometrical combined approach to treating of chaotic dynamics of atmospheric pollutants and its forecasting. Here we present the results of its application to studying hydroecological system dynamics. The successful application of new chaos-geometrical approach has been presented for studying another kind of nature systems, namely atmosphere pollution systems [10].

During the last two decades, many studies in various fields of science have appeared, in which chaos theory was applied to a great number of dynamical systems, including those are originated from nature (e.g. [1-22]). The outcomes of such studies are very encouraging, as they reported very good predictions using such an approach for different systems.

2. Advanced chaos-geometrical approach to atmospheric pollutants dynamics: Data

2.2.1. Data and methodics

In this studying we investigate the pollution dynamics of the hydrological systems, in particular, variations of the nitrates and sulphates concentrations in the river's water reservoirs in the Earthen Slovakia by using the non-linear prediction approaches and chaos theory method (in versions) [1-10]. As the initial data we use the results of empirical observations made on six watersheds in the region of the Small Carpathians, carried out by coworkers of the Institute of Hydrology of the Slovak Academy of Sciences [11]. The temporal changes in the concentrations of nitrates in the catchment areas are listed in [11].

Following to [1-10], further we formally consider scalar measurements $s(n) = s(t_0 + n\Delta t) = s(n)$, where t_0 is a start time, Δt is time step, and n is number of the measurements. In a general case, $s(n)$ is any time series (f.e. atmospheric pollutants concentration). As processes resulting in a chaotic behaviour are fundamentally multivariate, one needs to reconstruct phase space using as well as possible information contained in $s(n)$. Such reconstruction results in set of d-dimensional vectors $\mathbf{y}(n)$ replacing scalar measurements. The main idea is that direct use of lagged variables $s(n+\tau)$, where τ is some integer to be defined, results in a coordinate system where a structure of orbits in phase space can be captured. Using a collection of time lags to create a vector in d dimensions, $\mathbf{y}(n) = [s(n), s(n+\tau), s(n+2\tau), .., s(n+(d-1)\tau)]$, the required coordinates are provided. In a nonlinear system, $s(n + j\tau)$ are some unknown nonlinear combination of the actual physical variables. The dimension d is the embedding dimension, d_E.

Let us remind that following to [1,10], the choice of proper time lag is important for the subsequent reconstruction of phase space. If τ is chosen too small, then the coordinates $s(n + j\tau)$, $s(n+(j+1)\tau)$ are so close to each other in numerical value that they cannot be distinguished from each other. If τ is too large, then $s(n+j\tau)$, $s(n+(j+1)\tau)$ are completely independent of each other in a statistical sense. If τ is too small or too large, then the correlation dimension of attractor can be under-or overestimated. One needs to choose some intermediate position between above cases. First approach is to compute the linear autocorrelation function $C_L(\delta)$ and to look for that time lag where $C_L(\delta)$ first passes through 0. This gives a good hint of choice for τ at that $s(n + j\tau)$ and $s(n + (j+1)\tau)$ are linearly independent. It's better to use approach with a nonlinear concept of independence, e.g. an average mutual information. The mutual information I of two measurements a_i and b_k is symmetric and non-negative, and equals to 0 if only the systems are independent. The average mutual information between any value a_i from system A and b_k from B is the average over all possible

measurements of $I_{AB}(a_i, b_k)$. In ref. [4] it is suggested, as a prescription, that it is necessary to choose that τ where the first minimum of $I(\tau)$ occurs.

In [1,10] it has been stated that an aim of the embedding dimension determination is to reconstruct a Euclidean space R^d large enough so that the set of points d_A can be unfolded without ambiguity. The embedding dimension, d_E, must be greater, or at least equal, than a dimension of attractor, d_A, i.e. $d_E > d_A$. In other words, we can choose a fortiori large dimension d_E, e.g. 10 or 15, since the previous analysis provides us prospects that the dynamics of our system is probably chaotic. The correlation integral analysis is one of the widely used techniques to investigate the signatures of chaos in a time series. If the time series is characterized by an attractor, then correlation integral $C(r)$ is related to a radius r as $d = \lim_{r \to 0, N \to \infty} \frac{\log C(r)}{\log r}$, where d is correlation exponent.

2.2.2 The results for time series

It table 1 we list the values of the autocorrelation function C_L and the first minimum of mutual information I_{min1} for the concentration of nitrates in four watersheds of the Small Carpathians.

Table 1. Time lags (hours) subject to different values of C_L, and first minima of average mutual information,I_{min1}, for the concentration of nitrates in four watersheds of the Small Carpathians.

	Manelo	Ondava	Gidra	Vydrica
C_L=*0.1*	175	132	266	282
C_L=*0.5*	9	17	7	32
I_{min1}	27	26	48	52

The values, where the autocorrelation function first crosses 0.1, can be chosen as τ, but in [6,9] it's showed that an attractor cannot be adequately reconstructed for very large values of τ. So, before making up final decision we calculate the dimension of attractor for all values in Table 1. The large values of τ result in impossibility to determine both the correlation exponents and attractor dimensions using Grassberger-Procaccia method [1,16]. Here the outcome is explained not only inappropriate values of τ but also shortcomings of correlation dimension method. If algorithm [14] is used, then a percentages of false nearest neighbours are comparatively large in a case of large τ. If time lags determined by average mutual information are used, then algorithm of false nearest neighbours provides $d_E = 6$ for all water pollutants.

2.2.3. Nonlinear prediction model

The fundamental problem of theory of any dynamical system is in predicting the evolutionary dynamics of a chaotic system. Let us remind following to [1-

,2,10] that the cited predictability can be estimated by the Kolmogorov entropy, which is proportional to a sum of positive LE. As usually, the spectrum of LE is one of dynamical invariants for non-linear system with chaotic behaviour. The limited predictability of the chaos is quantified by the local and global LE, which can be determined from measurements. The LE are related to the eigenvalues of the linearized dynamics across the attractor. Negative values show stable behaviour while positive values show local unstable behaviour. For chaotic systems, being both stable and unstable, LE indicate the complexity of the dynamics. The largest positive value determines some average prediction limit. Since the LE are defined as asymptotic average rates, they are independent of the initial conditions, and hence the choice of trajectory, and they do comprise an invariant measure of the attractor. An estimate of this measure is a sum of the positive LE. The estimate of the attractor dimension is provided by the conjecture d_L and the LE are taken in descending order. The dimension d_L gives values close to the dimension estimates discussed earlier and is preferable when estimating high dimensions. To compute LE, we use a method with linear fitted map, although the maps with higher order polynomials can be used too. Non-linear model of chaotic processes is based on the concept of compact geometric attractor on which observations evolve. Since an orbit is continually folded back on itself by dissipative forces and the non-linear part of dynamics, some orbit points [1,10] $\mathbf{y}^r(k)$, $r = 1,\ 2,\ ..,N_B$ can be found in the neighbourhood of any orbit point $\mathbf{y}(k)$, at that the points $\mathbf{y}^r(k)$ arrive in the neighbourhood of $\mathbf{y}(k)$ at quite different times than k. One can then choose some interpolation functions, which account for whole neighbourhoods of phase space and how they evolve from near $\mathbf{y}(k)$ to whole set of points near $\mathbf{y}(k+1)$. The implementation of this concept is to build parameterized non-linear functions $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x},\ \mathbf{a})$ which take $\mathbf{y}(k)$ into $\mathbf{y}(k+1) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}(k),\ \mathbf{a})$ and use various criteria to determine parameters $\mathbf{a}$. Since one has the notion of local neighbourhoods, one can build up one's model of the process neighbourhood by neighbourhood and, by piecing together these local models, produce a global non-linear model that capture much of the structure in an attractor itself. Table 2 shows the correlation dimension (d_2), embedding dimension (d_E), Kaplan-Yorke dimension (d_L), and average limit of predictability ($Pr_{max}, hours$) for time series of the concentration of nitrates in the watershed of the Small Carpathians.

Table 2. The Time lag (τ), correlation dimension (d_2), embedding dimension (d_E), Kaplan-Yorke dimension (d_L), and average limit of predictability

$(Pr_{max}, hours)$ for time series of the concentration of nitrates in the watershed of the Small Carpathians.

	Manelo	Ondava	Gidra	Vydrica
τ	7	10	16	19
(d_2)	3.71	3.65	5.13	5.21
(d_E)	4	4	6	6
d_L	3.66	3.27	5.87	5.01
Pr_{max}	9	7	14	12

The sum of the positive LE determines the Kolmogorov entropy, which is inversely proportional to the limit of predictability (Pr_{max}. Let us remind since the conversion rate of the sphere into an ellipsoid along different axes is determined by the LE, it is clear that the smaller the amount of positive dimensions, the more stable is a dynamic system. Consequently, it increases the predictability of it. As the numerical calculation shows the presence of the two (from six) positive λ_i suggests the system broadens in the line of two axes and converges along four axes that in the six-dimensional space. The time series of concentrations at the site of the Vidrica have the highest predictability than other time series.

3. Conclusions

In this paper we considered an advanced chaos-geometrical approach to treating of hydroecological systems dynamics. The approach combines the non-linear analysis methods to dynamics, such as the correlation integral analysis, the LE analysis, surrogate data method etc. We have investigated a chaotic behaviour in the time series of the nitrates concentrations in the river's water reservoirs in the Earthen Slovakia and found an availability of the low-D chaos.

References

1. Glushkov A.V., Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Analysis and estimation of anthropogenic loading influence on industrial city air basin.-Odessa: Ecology, 2011.-290P.
2. Glushkov A.V., Chaos-geometrical universal numerical approach to life science processes: Theoretical basis's// Computational Life Sciences (Sprinfger), in print.
3. Glushkov A.V., Kuzakon' V.M., Khetselius O.Yu., Prepelitsa G.P. and Svinarenko A.A., Geometry of Chaos: Theoretical basis's of a consistent combined approach to treating chaotic dynamical systems and their parameters determination// Proc. Int. Geom. Centre.-2013.-6(**??**)-6-12.
4. Glushkov A.V., Khokhlov V.N., Tsenenko I.A. Atmospheric teleconnection patterns: wavelet analysis// Nonlin. Proc.in Geophys.-2004.-V.11,N3.-P.285-293.
5. Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Glushkov A.V.,Fedchuk A.P., Serbov N.G., Svinarenko A.A., Tsenenko I.A., Sensing non-linear chaotic features in dynamics of system of couled autogenerators: standard multifractal analysis// Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.-2007.-N1.-P.14-17.
6. Glushkov A.V., Khokhlov V.N., Loboda N.S., Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Short-range forecast of atmospheric pollutants using non-linear prediction method// Atmospheric Environment (Elsevier).-2008.-Vol.42.-P. 7284–7292.

7. Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Khetselius O.Yu., Non-linear prediction statistical method in forecast of atmospheric pollutants//Proc. of the 8th International Carbon Dioxide Conference.-Jena (Germany).-2009.- P.T2-098.
8. Glushkov A.V., Khokhlov V.N., Loboda N.S., Khetselius O.Yu., Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Non-linear prediction method in forecast of air pollutants CO_2, CO// Transport and Air Pollution. – Zurich: ETH University Press (Switzerland). –2010. – P.131–136.
9. Glushkov A.V., Khetselius O.Yu., Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Prepelitsa G.P., Solyanikova E.P., Serga E.N., Non-linear prediction method in short-range forecast of atmospheric pollutants: low-dimensional chaos// Dynamical Systems – Theory and Applications. – Lodz: Lodz Univ. Press (Poland). –2011.- LIF111 (6p.).
10. Glushkov A.V., Bunyakova Yu.Ya., Zaichko P.A., Geometry of Chaos: Consistent combined approach to treating chaotic dynamics atmospheric pollutants and its forecasting// Proc. of Int. Geometry Center.-2013.-Vol.6,N3.-P.6-14.
11. Pekarova P., Miklanek P., Konicek A., Pekar J.: Water quality in experimental basins. National Report 1999 of the UNESKO.-Project 1.1.-Intern.Water Systems. 1999, 1-98.
12. KoP·ak K., Saylan L., Sen O., Nonlinear time series prediction of O_3 concentration in CityplaceIstanbul.. *AtmosphericEnvironment* (Elsevier) 34, 2000, 1267-1271.
13. Kuznetsov S.P., Dunamical chaos.-Moscow: Fizmatlit.-2006.-356P.
14. Kennel M., Brown R., Abarbanel H., Determining embedding dimension for phase-space reconstruction using a geometrical construction//Phys Rev A.-1992.-Vol.45.-P.3403–3411.
15. Packard N., Crutchfield J., Farmer J., Shaw R., Geometry from a time series//Phys Rev Lett.-1988.-Vol.45.-P.712–716.
16. Grassberger P., SnplaceProcaccia SnI., Measuring the strangeness of strange attractors//Physica D.-1983.-Vol.9.-P.189–208.
17. Fraser A., Swinney H., Independent coordinates for strange attractors from mutual information// Phys Rev A.-1986.-Vol.33.-P.1134–1140.
18. Takens F (1981) Detecting strange attractors in turbulence. In: Rand DA, Young LS (eds) Dynamical systems and turbulence, Warwick 1980. (Lecture notes in mathematics No 898). Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 366–381
19. Mane R (1981) On the dimensions of the compact invariant sets of certain non-linear maps. In: Rand DA, Young LS (eds) Dynamical systems and turbulence, Warwick 1980. (Lecture notes in mathematics No 898). Springer, Berlin Heidelberg N.-Y., p. 230–242
20. Sano M, Sawada Y (1985) Measurement of the Lyapunov spectrum from a chaotic time series//Phys Rev.Lett.-1995.-Vol.55.-P.1082–1085
21. Theiler J., Eubank S., Longtin A., Galdrikian B., Farmer J., Testing for nonlinearity in time series: The method of surrogate data// Physica D.-1992.-Vol.58.-P.77–94.
22. Kaplan J.L., Yorke J.A., Chaotic behavior of multidimensional difference equations, in: Peitgen H.-O., Walter H.-O. (Eds.), Functional Differential Equations and Approximations of Fixed Points. Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 730. Springer, Berlin.-1979.-pp.204-227.

A.V. Glushkov, V.V. Buyadzhi, E.L.Ponomarenko

Mathematics Department

State Environmental University, Odessa, Ukraine

E-mail: dirac13@mail.ru