



# MODERN TECHNOLOGIES OF REPRODUCTION NATIVE FISH SPECIES

International  
scientific-practical  
conference

May 22, 2019.  
Mukachevo, Ukraine

**Network of Aquaculture Centers in Central-Eastern Europe (NACEE)  
Institute of Fisheries of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine**

**MODERN TECHNOLOGIES  
OF PROPAGATION AND RESTOCKING  
OF NATIVE FISH SPECIES**

**International Scientific and Practical Conference,  
May 22, 2019. Mukachevo, Ukraine**

Kyiv – 2019

**Modern Technologies of Propagation and Restocking of Native Fish Species : International Scientific and Practical Conference, May 22, 2019 : book of abstracts. Mukachevo, Ukraine, 2019. 64 p.**

**Organizer** – Network of Aquaculture Centers in Central-Eastern Europe (NACEE) (<http://www.nacee.eu/ru>) & Institute of Fisheries of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine (<http://if.org.ua>).

**Scientific and Organizing Committee:**

**Ihor Hrytsyniak**, Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Director Institute of Fisheries of the NAAS (chairperson), Kyiv – UKRAINE;

**Vasyl Ustych**, Candidate of Biological Sciences, Director SE «Transcarpathian scientific and research station of salmon culture and restoration of rare fish species», Mukachevo – UKRAINE;

**Vitaliy Bekh**, Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, Head of the Fish Selection Department, Institute of Fisheries of the NAAS, Kyiv – UKRAINE;

**Natalia Kolesnyk**, Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Senior Research Scientist, Head of the laboratory of International Scientific and Technical Collaboration and Intellectual Property, Institute of Fisheries of the NAAS, Kyiv – UKRAINE;

**Ruslan Kononenko**, Candidate of Veterinary Sciences, subdean of Faculty of Livestock and Aquatic Bioresources, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv – UKRAINE;

**Artūrs Škute**, Doctor of Biological Sciences, Professor, Director Institute of Ecology Daugavpils University, Daugavpils – LATVIA;

**Oleg Marenkov**, Candidate of Biological Sciences, Associate Professor of General Biology and Aquatic Bioresources, Dnipro National University named after O. T. Gonchar, Dnipro – UKRAINE;

**Mansur Yuldashev**, Candidate of Biological Sciences, Deputy Director for Science, Scientific Research Institute of Fish Culture, Tashkent – UZBEKISTAN;

**Karol Weglarz**, Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Professor, foreign member of the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, President of the Research and Production Research Branch, Institute of Zootechnics, Krakow, POLAND;

**Sophiko Diasamidze**, Head of board of Organic Aquaculture Association «Foregi», Batumi – GEORGIA;

**Pavlo Kutishchev**, Candidate of Biological Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Water Bioresources & Aquaculture, Kherson State Agrarian University, Kherson – UKRAINE;

**Elena Zubcov**, Corresp. Member of Academy Sciences of Moldova, Professor, Doctor habilitatus, Head of the Laboratory of Hydrobiology and Ecotoxicology, Institute of Zoology Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Chișinău – MOLDOVA;

**Mykola Barulin**, Candidate of Agricultural Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Ichthyology and Fish Culture, Belarusian State Academy of Agriculture, Gorki – BELARUS;

**Mykola Fedorenko**, Deputy Director, Budget Enterprise «Methodological and Technological Center for Aquaculture», Kyiv – UKRAINE;

**Galina Cercubet**, Prof., Research Centre of water genetic resources «Aquagenresours», Kishinev – MOLDOVA;

**Dr. Bela Urbányi**, Vice-Rector for General Affairs and Strategy, Head of Department of Aquaculture, Institute of Aquaculture and Environmental Safety Szent Istvan University, Gödöllő – HUNGARY;

**Dimitar Taskov**, PhD, Stirling University, Stirling – UNITED KINGDOM.

## C O N T E N T S

<i>J. Pavličević, I. Rožić, Z. Ćemerlić, B. Glamuzina</i>	
Conservation and enhancement of the endemic trouts species in the river Neretva catchment (Bosnia and Herzegovina) after alteration of the river flow .....	5
<i>T. Ananieva, O. Fedonenko</i>	
Ecological and biochemical characteristics of commercial fish juvenile in the Zaporizhzhia reservoir .....	6
<i>O. Bielikova, O. Zaloilo, S. Tarasjuk, A. Mruk</i>	
Microsatellite DNA analysis of rainbow trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> ) from Carpathian region of Ukraine .....	8
<i>I. Bobel, Ya. Pivtorak</i>	
Productive and hematological parameters of rainbow trout when feeding them with ALLET AQUA and AQUAFEED FISCHFUTTER feeds.....	10
<i>V. Bozhyk, O. Yasnyskyi, I. Kichun</i>	
High quality specialized mixed fodders for carp culture in the conditions of pond farms and aquaculture .....	12
<i>V. Bozhyk, O. Bozhyk</i>	
State and peculiarities of cold-water fish farming and aquaculture in the Subcarpathian and Carpathian regions .....	14
<i>Dm. Bulat, Dn. Bulat, E. Zubkov</i>	
Rare fish species in The Republic of Moldova .....	16
<i>M. Burgaz</i>	
Assessment of the similarity degree of <i>Gobiidae</i> food composition for forming polyculture in the Shabolatsky estuary .....	19
<i>M. Burhaz, P. Shekk</i>	
Assessment of the diet overlap of mullets ( <i>Mugilidae</i> ) for polyculture in the shabolatskyi lagoon .....	20
<i>L. Haloian</i>	
Aquaculture of brown trout ( <i>Salmo trutta</i> morfa fario Linnaeus) in Ukraine .....	22
<i>O. Honcharova</i>	
Physiological and biochemical justification of the method of the treatment of cyanobacteria <i>Spirulina</i> ( <i>Arthospira</i> ) platensis when feeding young-of-the-year carp .....	24
<i>L. Dragan, T. Bersan, N. Mihajlenko, A. Latysh</i>	
Bacterial correction of algocenosis in the water bodies of Kyiv city and Kyiv region .....	26
<i>N. Kolesnyk, M. Simon, S. Koba, S. Orel</i>	
Biological value and toxic effect of Fe, Zn, & Cu on sturgeons ( <i>Acipenseridae</i> ) .....	27
<i>Zh. Koshak, N. Gadlevskaja, M. Usov</i>	
Application of started feed developed in the republic of Belarus in growing-up <i>Esox lucius</i> and <i>Silurus glanis</i> fry .....	30

Retrieved from <http://lex.justice.md/viewdoc.php?action=view&view=doc&id=328088&lang=2>.

3. *Krasnaya Kniga Moldavskoy SSR.* (1978). Kishinev: Kartya Moldovenyasko.
  4. *Cartea Roșie a Republicii Moldova.* (2001). Chișinău: Î.E.P. Știință.
  5. *Cartea Roșie a Republicii Moldova.* (2015). Chișinău: Î.E.P. Știință.
  6. Alimov, I. A. (2013). Vyrashchivanie vrezuba (*Rutilus frisii*) v rybovodnykh prudakh. *Rybovodstvo i rybnoe khozyaystvo*, 10, 26-30.
- 

**ASSESSMENT OF THE SIMILARITY DEGREE OF *GOBIIDAE* FOOD  
COMPOSITION FOR FORMING POLYCULTURE  
IN THE SHABOLATSKY ESTUARY**

**M. Burgaz**, marinaburgaz14@gmail.com, Odesa State Environmental University  
Одеський, Одеса

Shabolatsky estuary is located in the northwestern area of Black Sea and was formed as Dnistro river estuaries, which were separated by a sand bar from the sea. The estuary belongs to the type of semi-open, brackish-water estuaries-lagoons. For centuries, the Shabolatsky estuary served as a place for marine and freshwater fish and was traditionally used for ranching mariculture [1].

One of the most important components of the ichthyocomplex of the Shabolatsky estuary are gobiids represented by the two most widespread fish species – round goby *Neogobius melanostomus* and grass goby *Zosterisessor ophiocephalus* [1].

An analysis of the food relationships for gobies, one of the main objects of ranching mariculture in the Shabolat estuary, showed that the maximum similarity degree in the diet compositions of the grass goby and round goby was observed in autumn (SD – 33.2), the minimum – in spring (SD – 20.8) (Table 1) [2].

*Table 1*

**Similarity degree of the diet composition of gobies from the Shabolatsky estuary  
in different seasons 2009–2012**

Nutrition objects, in percentages by weight	<i>Zosterisessor ophiocephalus</i>	<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>						
	Spring		Summer		Autumn		Average for the year	
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	8,4	–	7,3	6,6	1,3	1,5	7,2	1,7
<i>N. sp.</i>	4,6	–	4,1	–	–	–	4,2	–
<i>Abra ovata</i>	3,6	1,8	–	0,2	–	0,02	2,4	0,7
<i>Mytilaster lineatus</i>	1,0	1,1	–	0,5	–	0,03	0,4	0,3
<i>Hydrobia sp.</i>	1,3	–	–	0,2	–	0,1	0,8	0,3
<i>Mysidae gen sp.</i>	–	–	1,4	0,1	–	0,1	0,1	0,4
<i>Idothea baltica</i>	22,2	8,0	47,5	4,5	79,8	23,4	36,8	12,7

*Continuation of the table I*

Nutrition objects, in percentages by weight	<i>Zosterisessor ophicephalus</i>	<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>						
	Spring		Summer		Autumn		Average for the year	
<i>Sphaeroma serratum</i>	7,0	53,4	2,0	15,3	—	26,3	5,3	37,1
<i>Gammarus lacustra</i>	35,5	0,2	18,2	11,1	8,4	6,6	27,8	4,8
<i>Gammarus sp.</i>	5,1	—	1,5	0,9	1,9	4,5	3,8	1,8
<i>Palaemon adspersus</i>	2,8	11,0	1,6	12,0	—	10,3	1,1	9,0
<i>Pomatoschistus marmoratus</i>	—	20,6	1,5	11,7	—	17,5	0,5	16,1
<i>Zosterisessor ophicephalus</i>	1,0	—	9,5	—	—	—	2,7	—
<i>Neogobius melanostomus</i>	6,7	—	0,5	4,7	—	2,2	4,1	0,7
<i>N. fluviatilis</i>	0,6	—	0,5	21	—	9,5	0,3	8,3
<i>SD</i>	<b>20,8</b>		<b>29,3</b>		<b>33,2</b>		<b>31,2</b>	

In general, the similarity of the diets of these goby species from the Shabolatsky estuary is not great. They occupy close, but different food niches. Therefore, both species are quite suitable for forming polyculture for fish ranching [2].

## REFERENCES

1. Burgaz, M. I. (2017). Raspredelenie, biologiya s sostoyanie populyatsii bychkov kruglyaka (*Neogobius melanostomus*) i travnika (*Zosterisessor ophicephalus*) v Shabolatskom limane. *ScienceRise: Biological Science*, 6(9), 31-37.
2. Burhaz, M. I. (2018). Osoblyvosti formuvannia ikhtiotsenozu Shabolatskoho lymanu v umovakh antropohennoi transformatsii vodoimy. *Extended abstract of candidate's thesis*. Odesa.

## ASSESSMENT OF THE DIET OVERLAP OF MULLETS (MUGILIDAE) FOR POLYCULTURE IN THE SHABOLATSKYI LAGOON

**M. Burhaz**, marinaburgaz14@gmail.com, Odesa State Environmental University, Odesa  
**P. Shekk**, Shekk@ukr.net, Odesa State Environmental University, Odesa

Shabolatskyi (Budakskyi) lagoon is one of the water bodies of the north-western Black Sea region, which is characterized by high biological diversity and fish productivity.

The native ichthyofauna of the lagoon includes *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Liza saliens* (Risso, 1810), and *Liza aurata* (Risso, 1810). In the 80s-90s of the 20th century, a *L. haematocheilus* population (Temminck & Schlegel, 1845) was formed in the Shabolatskyi estuary and is capable of self-reproducing [1].

An analysis of the trophic relationships of the main objects of fish ranching in the

Shabolatskyi estuary showed that the highest diet overlap was observed among mullets (*Mugilidae*) (Table 1) [2].

**Table 1. Average annual diet overlap indices for all length-age groups of mullets (*Mugilidae*) during the feeding period in 2012-2014**

Species	<i>Mugilidae</i>			
	<i>L. saliens</i>	<i>M. auratus / L. aurata</i>	<i>L. haematocheilus</i>	<i>M. cephalus</i>
<i>Mugilidae</i>	<i>L. saliens</i>	—	<b>72.3</b>	56.2
	<i>M. auratus / L. aurata</i>	<b>72.2</b>	—	58.7
	<i>L. haematocheilus</i>	56.2	58.7	—
	<i>M. cephalus</i>	55.6	58.5	<b>78.7</b>

The average annual diet overlap values of *L. haematocheilus* and *M. auratus / L. aurata* were 78.7, *L. saliens* and *M. cephalus* — 72.2. For mullets, the highest similarity was the qualitative composition of the diets for all species in the spring period [3]. In summer, the composition of food changed significantly that reduced the tension of trophic relationships. In autumn, the greatest similarity was observed for age-1+ *L. saliens* and *M. auratus / L. aurata* (diet overlap index — 74.5), age-1+ and age-2+ of *L. haematocheilus* and *M. cephalus* (diet overlap indexes — 84.2 and 85.0, respectively). At the same time, the diets of *L. haematocheilus* and *M. auratus / L. aurata* differed significantly from *L. haematocheilus* and *M. cephalus*. If the majority of the diets of the first fishes were animal prey, detritus and plant components prevailed in the latter. Trophic relationships among all mullets foraging in the Shabolatskyi lagoon were quite tense in recent years, which largely affected their growth rates and the weight of table fish. This fact must be taken into account in the directed formation of fish ichthyocenosis in the lagoon, in order to organize fish ranching.

In the Shabolatskyi lagoon, it is advisable to introduce fish ranching practices of the controlled cultivation of mullets in cages and specially fenced areas of the lagoon. Also, it is necessary to pay serious attention to the development of active methods of harvesting commercial fish during free fattening [4].

## REFERENCES

1. Shekk, P. V., & Burgaz, M. I. (2016). Ikhtiofauna Shabolatskogo limana. *Mezhdunarodnaya assotsiatsiya khraniteley reki Eco-TIRAS. Sbornik nauchnykh statey, Akademiku L.S.Bergu -140 let*, 576-580.
2. Shekk, P. V., & Burgaz, M. I. (2017). Kharakteristika pitaniya kefalevykh ryb v Shabolatskom limane. *ScienceRise: Biological Science*, 4(7), 21-26.
3. Burhaz, M. I. (2018). Osoblyvosti formuvannia ikhtiotsenozu Shabolatskoho lymanu v umovakh antropohennoi transformatsii vodoimy. *Candidate's thesis*. Odesa: Odesa State Environmental University.
4. Shekk, P. V., & Burgaz, M. I. (2017). Sovremennaya strategiya pastbishchnoy marikul'tury v solonovatovodnykh limanakh severo-zapadnogo Prichernomor'ya. *Aktual'nye nauchnye issledovaniya v sovremennom mire*, 3(23), 22-31.