# ФІЗИЧНІ, ХІМІЧНІ ТА ІНШІ ЯВИЩА, НА ОСНОВІ ЯКИХ МОЖУТЬ БУТИ СТВОРЕНІ СЕНСОРИ

# PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL AND OTHER PHENOMENA, AS THE BASES OF SENSORS

PACS numbers: 12.15. ± y, 12.60. ± i, 14.80.Bn, 14.80.Cp УДК 539.19

## SENSING NUCLEAR ANAPOLE MOMENT AND PARITY NON-CONSERVATION EFFECT IN HEAVY ATOMIC SYSTEMS: NEW SCHEME

O. Yu. Khetselius

I. I. Mechnikov Odessa National University, Odessa Odessa State Environmental University, Odessa

#### SENSING NUCLEAR ANAPOLE MOMENT AND PARITY NON-CONSERVATION EFFECT IN HEAVY ATOMIC SYSTEMS: NEW SCHEME

O. Yu. Khetselius

**Abstract.** It is presented the new theoretical approach for sensing anapole moment of a nucleus and parity non-conservation effect in heavy atomic systems, based on the combined QED perturbation theory formalism and relativistic nuclear mean-field theory. Results of estimating these constants are presented.

Keywords: anapole moment, parity non-conservation, heavy atomic systems

## О ДЕТЕКТРОВАНИИ АНАПОЛЬНОГО МОМЕНТА ЯДРА И ЭФФЕКТА НЕСОХРАНЕНИЯ ЧЕТНОСТИ В ТЯЖЕЛЫХ АТОМНЫХ СИСТЕМАХ: НОВЫЙ ПОДХОД

#### О. Ю. Хецелиус

Аннотация. Представлен новый теоретический подход к детектированию анапольного момента ядра и эффекта несохранения четности в тяжелых атомных системах, базирующийся на ядерно-КЭД теории возмущений и релятивистской ядерной модели среднего поля. Приведены результаты расчета искомых параметров.

Ключевые слова: анапольный момент, несохранение четности, тяжелые атомные системы

## ПРО ДЕТЕКТУВАННЯ АНАПОЛЬНОГО МОМЕНТУ ЯДРА ТА ЕФЕКТУ НЕЗБЕРЕЖЕННЯ ПАРНОСТІ У ВАЖКИХ АТОМНИХ СИСТЕМАХ: НОВИЙ ПІДХІД

О. Ю. Хецеліус

Анотація. Розглянутий новий теоретичний підхід до детектування анапольного моменту ядра та ефекту незбереження парності у важких атомних системах, який базується на ядерно-КЕД теорії збурень та релятивістській моделі середнього поля. Наведені результати розрахунку шуканих параметрів.

Ключові слова: анапольний момент, незбереження парності, важкі атомні системи

#### **1. Introduction**

At present time a great attention is turned on development of the effective nuclear schemes and technologies for sensing different nuclear properties, creation of the corresponding nuclear sensors. In fact speech is about a new branch in the modern nuclear and sensors science. From the other side, it gives a new pulse for further developing a modern as atomic and as nuclear theories too. Studying the spectral lines hyperfine structure (hfs) for heavy elements and ions, sensing an anapole moment and corresponding spin-dependent parity non-conservation (PNC) effect in heavy atomic systems are the most actual and complicated topics of modern theory [1-11]. Naturally, from the theoretical point of view, the well-known multi-configuration relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) and Dirac-Fock (MCDF) approaches are the most reliable version of calculation for heavy multi-electron atomic systems with a large nuclear charge (look [12,13]). As a rule, the one- and two-particle relativistic effects are taken in these calculations into account practically precisely. The next important step is an adequate account for the nuclear and QED corrections. This topic has been a subject of intensive theoretical and experimental interest (see [1-11]). It is well known that the parity nonconservation experiments in atomic physics provide an important possibility to deduce information on the Standard Model independent of the known high-energy experiments [1]. The detailed review of these topics can be found in refs.[1-9], where one could find a brief introducing the Standard Model physics and the conventional

Higgs mechanism and a survey of recent ideas on how breaking electroweak symmetry dynamics can be explained. Further one could remind that the observation of a static electric dipole moment of a many-electron atom which violates parity (P) and time reversal (T) symmetry represents a great fundamental interest in searching for a new physics beyond the Standard model of particles. In the present paper the new theoretical approach is used for sensing the hyperfine structure parameters, anapole moment of a nucleus and PNC effect in heavy atomic systems.

#### 2. Nuclear-QED PT approach to sensing hyperfine structure parameters and paritynon-conservation transition amplitude

As the basis of our approach it is used the nuclear-QED perturbation theory (PT) the combining ab initio QED PT which is formalism and nuclear relativistic middlefield (RMF) model [14-20]. The important feature is the correct accounting for the inter electron correlations, nuclear, Breit and QED corrections. The wave electron functions zeroth basis is found from the Dirac equation solution with potential, which includes the core ab initio potential V(r|SCF), electric V(r|nlj), polarization  $V_{nol}(r|nlj)$ +potentials of nucleus. All correlation corrections of the second and high orders of PT (electrons screening, particle-hole interaction etc.) are accounted for [10]. The concrete nuclear model is based on the relativistic mean-field (RMF) model for the ground-state calculation of the nucleus. In our approach we have used so called NL3-NLC and generalized Ivanov et al approach (see details in refs. [5,19,20]), which

are among the most successful parameterizations available. Further one can write the Dirac-Fock -like equations for a multi-electron system {core*nl*j}. Formally they fall into one-electron Dirac equations for the orbitals *nlj* with potential:  $V(r) = V(r|SCF) + V(r|nl j) + V(r|R) + V_{ex} + V_c$ . Radial parts F and G of two components of the Dirac function for electron, which moves in the potential V(r,R) are defined by solution of the Dirac equations (PT zeroth order). The terms  $V_{er}$  and  $V_c$  of the general potential accounts for exchange and correlation inter-electron interaction. The exchange effects are accounted for in the first two PT orders. The core electron density is defined by iteration algorithm within QED procedure [13-15]. The radiative QED (the self-energy part of the Lamb shift and the vacuum polarization contribution) are accounted for within the QED formalism [5,13-15]. The hyperfine structure constants are defined as follows. The interaction Hamiltonian has the standard form:

$$H_{I} = e \overline{j}_{e}^{\mu} \overline{A}_{\mu} + e \overline{J}_{N}^{\mu} \overline{A}_{\mu}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $j_e^{\mu}$ ,  $j_N^{\mu}$  are Lorentz covariant current operators for the electron and the nucleus:

$$\overline{j}_{e}^{\mu} = \widehat{\overline{\psi}}_{e} \gamma^{\mu} \widehat{\psi}_{e} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\overline{J}_{N}^{\mu} = \frac{1+\tau_{3}}{2}\overline{\psi}_{N}\gamma^{\mu}\overline{\psi}_{N} + \frac{\lambda}{2M}\partial_{\nu}(\overline{\psi}_{N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\overline{\psi}_{N})_{.}$$
(3)

Here  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ . The rest notations are

standard. Using the first-order perturbation based on the S-matrix method one can get the expression for the hyperfine structure parameters [16]. As usually, the corresponding reduced matrix element can be divided on the electron part and on the Dirac part and the anomalous part for a nucleus. In order to define all parts the corresponding relativistic wave functions of the electron and single-particle states of a nucleus are required.

The dominative contribution to the PNC amplitude is provided by the spin-independent part of the operator for a weak interaction:

$$H_W^1 = \frac{G}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_W \gamma_5 \rho(r) , \qquad (4)$$

where  $G_F = g^2 / 4\sqrt{2}m_W^2$  is the Fermi constant of the weak interaction,  $\gamma_5$ -is the Dirac matrice,  $\rho(r)$  is a density of the charge distribution in a nucleus and  $Q_W$  is a weak charge of a nucleus, linked with number of neutrons N and protons Z and the Weinberg angle  $\theta_W$  in the Standard model:

$$Q_W = Z(1 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W) - N_{.}$$
 (5)

With account for the radiative corrections, equation (5) can be rewritten as [5,18]:

$$Q_W = \{Z(1 - [4.012 \pm 0.010] \sin^2 \theta_W) - N\} \cdot (0.9857 \pm 0.0004)(1 + 0.0078T),$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2323 + 0.00365S - 0.00261T)$$
(6)

The parameters S,T parameterize the looped corrections in the terms of conservation (S) and violation (T) of an isospin.

The spin-dependent contribution to the PNC amplitude has three distinct sources: the nuclear anapole moment, the Z-boson exchange interaction from nucleon axial-vector currents  $(A_{\mu}V_{\mu})$ , and the combined action of the hyperfine interaction and spin-independent Z-boson exchange from nucleon vector  $(V_{r}A_{r})$  currents (look, for example, [3-8]. As a rule, the anapole moment contribution strongly dominates. From physical point of view, anapole moment can be considered as an electromagnetic characteristics of system, where the PNC takes a place; generally speaking, speech is about the arisen spin structure and the magnetic field distribution is similar to the solenoid field. The above-mentioned interactions can be represented by the Hamiltonian

$$H_W^i = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} k_i (\alpha \cdot I) \rho(r), \qquad (7)$$

where k(i=a) is an anapole contribution,  $k(i=2)=k_{Z0}$ - axial-vector contribution,  $k(i=kh)=k_{Qw}$  is a contribution due to the combined action of the hyperfine interaction and spin-independent *Z* exchange . The estimate of the corresponding matrix elements is in fact reduced to the calculation of the integrals as:

$$< i \mid H_W^1 \mid j >= i \frac{G}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_W \delta_{k_i - k_j} \delta_{m_i m_j} \times$$
$$\times \int_0^\infty dr [F_i(r)G_j(r) - G_i(r)F_j(r)] \rho(r).$$

(8)

The reduced matrix element has the standard form as follows:

$$< i \parallel H_{W}^{1} \parallel j >= i \frac{G}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_{W} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr [F_{i}(r)G_{j}(r) - G_{i}(r)F_{i}(r)]\rho(r)$$
(9)

The general expression for the corresponding spin-dependent PNC contribution is:

$$< a \mid PNC \mid b >^{sd} = k_a < a \mid PNC \mid b >^{(a)} + k_2 + (a \mid PNC \mid b >^{(b)}) + (b \mid b >^{(b)}),$$

$$< a \mid PNC \mid b >^{(2)} + k_{hf} < a \mid PNC \mid b >^{(hf)}),$$
(10)

where, for example, the element looks as follows:

#### 3. Results and conclusions

As the first studying objects, we have considered the nuclei of isotopes of <sup>133</sup>Cs and Cs-like ion of barium. We carried out calculation (the Superatom-ISAN and RMF-G package [13-15,20] are used) the hyperfine structure (hfs) parameter for Cs and Ba<sup>+</sup> isotopes. In table 1 the experimental (A<sup>Exp</sup>) and our (A<sup>N-Qed</sup>) data for magnetic dipole constant A (MHz) for valent states of <sup>133</sup>Cs (I=7/2,  $g_i=0.7377208$ ) and the Cslike ion of barium:  $[5p^6]6s_{1/2}$ ,  $6p_{1/2}$  are presented. The following notations are used:  $A^{DF} - MCDF$ method ; ARHF - RHF method and AQED- the QED theory;  $A^{N-Qed}$  is the result of the present paper (from refs. [5,8,9,10,16]. In a whole the key quantitative factor of agreement between the theory and experimental data is connected with using the gauge-invariant relativistic orbital basis's, the correct accounting for the inter electron correlations, nuclear, Breit, QED radiative corrections (including magnetic moment distribution in a nucleus and nuclear corrections).

$$< a \mid PNC \mid b >^{(n_y)} = \sum_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< n \mid H\_W^{\(hf\)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha\_v A^v \mid b >}{\(\varepsilon\_a - \varepsilon\_n\)\(\varepsilon\_a - \varepsilon\_n\)} + \sum\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< n \mid H\\_W^{\\(1\\)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\(\varepsilon\\_a - \varepsilon\\_n\\)\\(\varepsilon\\_a - \varepsilon\\_n\\)} + \sum\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< n \mid H\\\_W^{\\\(1\\\)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\(\varepsilon\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\_n\\\)\\\(\varepsilon\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\_n\\\)} + \sum\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< n \mid H\\\\_W^{\\\\(1\\\\)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\_n\\\\)\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\_n\\\\)} + \sum\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< n \mid H\\\\\_W^{\\\\\(hf\\\\\)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\_n\\\\\)\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\_n\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\_n\\\\\\)\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\_n\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{< m \mid e\alpha\\\\\\\\\\\_v A^v \mid b >}{\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{}{\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\(\varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_a - \varepsilon\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_n\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\)} + \sum\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\_{\substack{m \neq a \\ n \neq a}} \frac{$$

(Lf)

$$+\sum_{\substack{m\neq a\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid H_{W}^{(1)} \mid m \rangle \langle m \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid m \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{a} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq a\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid m \rangle \langle m \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid b \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{a} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq a\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{W}^{(1)} \mid m \rangle \langle m \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid b \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid m \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle \langle n \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid m \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} - \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{m})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{m})} + \sum_{\substack{m\neq b\\n\neq b}} \frac{\langle a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n \rangle}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{m})(\varepsilon_{m})}$$

$$- < a \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid a > \sum_{m \neq a} \frac{< a \mid H_{W}^{(1)} \mid m > < m \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid b >}{(\varepsilon_{a} - \varepsilon_{m})^{2}} - \sum_{n \neq b} \frac{< a \mid e\alpha_{v}A^{v} \mid n > < n \mid H_{W}^{(1)} \mid b >}{(\varepsilon_{b} - \varepsilon_{n})^{2}} < b \mid H_{W}^{(hf)} \mid b >.$$

Here the following notations are used:  $|a\rangle = |aIF_FM_F\rangle, |b\rangle = |bIF_IM_I\rangle, I - \text{spin of a}$ nucleus,  $F_{I,F}$ -is a total momentum of an atom and M - its z component (I,F are the initial and final states). It should be noted the expressions for the matrix elements  $\langle a | PNC | b \rangle^{(a)}$ ,  $\langle a | PNC | b \rangle^{(2)}$  are similar to equation (14).

Table 1. The values (MHZ) of the hfs constant A for valent states of <sup>133</sup> Cs and the Cs-like ion of Ba:
A <sup>Exp</sup> - experiment; A <sup>RHF</sup> , dA <sup>RHF</sup> - RHF calculation plus the second and higher PT orders contribution;
$A^{\text{QED}}$ – QED theory [32]; $A^{\text{N-Qed}}$ – the present paper;

	State	$A^{MCDF}$	$A^{ m RHF}$	$A^{\rm RHF}+dA$	$A^{Qed}$	$A^{\mathrm{N-Qed}}$	$A^{\operatorname{Exp}}$
Cs	6s <sub>1/2</sub>	1736,9	1426,81	2291,00	2294,45	2296,85	2298,16
Cs	<i>6p</i> <sub>1/2</sub>	209,6	161,09	292,67	292,102	291,97	291,90(13)
$Ba^+$	6s <sub>1/2</sub>	4193,02	4208,2	2291,00	4014,52	4016,76	4018
$Ba^+$	<i>6p</i> <sub>1/2</sub>	783,335		292,67	742,96	742,54	742,04

Further in table 2 we present new data on the nuclear spin dependent corrections to the PNC <sup>133</sup>Cs 6s-7s amplitude  $E_{PNC}$ , calculated by different theoretical methods (in units of the k<sub>a,2,hf</sub> coefficient): many-body PT (MBPT), DF-PT, the nuclear shell model and our approach [5-8].

Table 2. The nuclear spin-dependent corrections to PNC <sup>133</sup>Cs: 6s-7s amplitude, calculated by different methods (in units of  $k_{a,2,hf}$  coeff.): MBPT, DF-PT, shell model, N-QED PT (see text).

a new effective theoretical approach for sensing hyperfine structure parameters, anapole moment and PNC effect parameters in heavy atomic systems is presented and based on the combined QED perturbation theory formalism and relativistic nuclear mean-field theory. The concrete sensing the anapole moment and PNC parameters confirms its adequacy and theoretical consistence. We believe that a new approach can be usefully applied in sensing the corresponding parameters for more complicated systems than the cesium.

Correction	MBPT	Shell model	DF	Our data
K (sum)	0.1169	0.1118	0.112	0.1159
k <sub>2</sub> - the <i>Z</i> -boson exchange interaction from	0.0140	0.0140	0.0111	0.0138
nucleon axial-vector currents $(A_n V_e)$			0.0084	
$k_{hf}$ - the combined action of the hyperfine	0.0049	0.0078	0.0071	0.0067
interaction and spin-independent Z exchange			0.0078	
k <sub>a</sub> -anapole moment	0.0980	0.090	0.0920	0.0954

Analysis shows that the many-body theories provide the maximal value of the nuclear spindependent contribution to the PNC 6s-7s amplitude in <sup>133</sup>Cs, at the same time purely nuclear estimates give a minimal value of this parameter. Let us also remind that as a rule the presented theoretical approaches provides physically reasonable agreement with the data of Standard Model, but the important question is how much exact this agreement. In our opinion, the précised estimates within the N-QED theory indicate on the tiny deviation from the Standard model. Summering all above said, let us conclude that

## References

- Grojean C., New approaches to electroweak symmetry breaking// Physics –Uspekhi.-2007.-Vol.50.-P.3-42; Review of Particle Properties, Particle Physics Booklet (AIP).-July, 1996.
- Auerbach N., Search for electric dipole moments in atoms of radioactive nuclei// J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. -2008.-Vol.35.-P. 014040.
- 3. KhriplovichI.B.,ParityNonconservation in Atomic Phenomena.- Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1991.-250P.
- 4. Khriplovich I.B., Discovery of anapole

moment//Phys.-Uspekhi.-1997.-Vol.167.- 1214-1216.

- Khetselius O.Yu., Quantum structure of electroweak interaction in heavy finite Fermi-systems.-Odessa: Astroprint (Ecology).-2011.-450P.
- Safronova M.S., Rupsi Pal, Jiang D., Kozlov M.G., Johnson W.R., Safronova U.I., New directions in atomic PNC// Nucl. Phys.A.-2009.-Vol.827.-P.411–413.
- Serot B. D., Walecka J. D., Advances in Nuclear Physics Vol. 16: The Relativistic Nuclear Many Body Problem. Plenum Press, New York, 1986.
- Johnson W.R., Safronova M.S., Safronova U.I., Combined effect of coherent Z exchange and the hyperfine interaction in the atomic parity-nonconserving interaction//Phys. Rev. A.-2003.-Vol.67.-P.062106.
- 9. Dzuba V.A., Flambaum V.V., Sushkov O.P., Polarizabilities and parity nonconservation in the Cs atom and limits on the deviation from the standard electroweak model//Phys.Rev.A.-1997-Vol.56.-P.R4357-4360.
- 10. Shabaev V.M., Tupitsyn I.I., Pachucki K., Plunien G., Yerokhin V.A., Radiative and correlation effects and parity-nonconserving transition amplitude in heavy alkali-metal atoms// Phys. Rev.A.-2005.-Vol.72.-P.062105.
- 11. Nagasawa T., Haga A., Nakano M., Hyperfine splitting of hydrogenlike atoms based on relativistic mean field theory// Phys.Rev.C.-2004.-Vol.69.-P. 034322.
- 12. Grant I.P., Relativistic Quantum Theory of Atoms and Molecules.-Oxford, 2008.-650P.
- Glushkov A.V., Relativistic Quantum Theory. Quantum, mechanics of Atomic Systems.-Odessa: Astroprint, 2008.-700P.
- 14. Glushkov A.V., Ambrosov S.V., Khetselius O.Yu., et al, QED calculation of the super heavy elements ions:

energy levels, radiative corrections and hfs for different nuclear models// Nucl. Phys.A.: Nucl.and Hadr. Phys. -2004.-Vol.734.-Pe 21-28.

- 15. Glushkov A.V., Khetselius O.Yu., Ambrosov S.V. et al, QED calculation of heavy ions with account for the correlation, radiative and nuclear effects// Recent Advances in Theory of Phys. and Chem. Systems (Berlin, Springer). -2006.-Vol.15.-P.285-300.
- 16. Khetselius O.Yu., Relativistic perturbation theory of hyperfine structure parameters for some heavy-element isotopes//Int. J. Quant.Chem.-2009.-Vol.109.-P. 3330-3335.
- Khetselius O.Yu., On possibility of sensing nuclei of the rare isotopes by means of laser spectroscopy of hyperfine structure// Sensor Electr. Microsyst. Techn.-2008.-N3.-P.28-33.
- Khetselius O.Yu., On sensing nuclei of the <sup>207</sup>Bi and <sup>207</sup>Pb isotopes by means of laser spectroscopy of hyperfine// Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.-2009.-N2.-P.26-29.
- 19. Khetselius O.Yu., Relativistic energy approach to cooperative electrongamma-nuclear processes: NEET Effect// Quantum Systems in Chemistry and Physics. Progress in Methods and Applications (Berlin, Springer).-2012-Vol.26.-P. 217-230.
- 20. Khetselius O.Yu., Lopatkin Yu.M., Dubrovskaya Yu.V., Svinarenko A.A., Sensing hyperfine-structure, electroweak interaction and parity nonconservation effect in heavy atoms and nuclei: new nuclear Qed approach// Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.-2010.-N2.-P.11-19.